



MINUTES

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, 22 AUGUST 2006

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

Councillor Exton	Councillor Mrs Radley
Councillor Fines	Councillor Sandall
Councillor Howard	Councillor Selby
Councillor Hurst	Councillor Stokes
Councillor Mrs Jalili	Councillor Turner
Councillor Parkin (in the Chair)	Councillor Wilks
Councillor Mrs Percival	

OFFICERS

Principal Planning Officer
Senior Planning Officer
Committee Support Officer

OTHER MEMBERS

Councillor Mrs. Woods

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 24.5, Councillor Mrs. Woods spoke in connection with application SU1.

718. MEMBERSHIP

The Committee was notified by the Chief Executive that he had received a notice under regulation 13 of the Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990 and had appointed Councillor Mrs. M. Radley in place of Councillor N. Radley for this meeting only.

719. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Chivers and Helyar.

720. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were none declared.

721. MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 25TH JULY 2006

The minutes of the meeting held on 25th July 2006 were confirmed as a correct record of the decisions taken.

722. PLANNING MATTERS - LIST FOR DEBATE

DECISION:-

To determine applications, or to make observations, as listed below:-

SU.1

Application ref: S06/0593/69

Description: Erection of a single storey front extension and raising of roof

Location: 13, Fox Dale, Stamford

Decision: Deferred.

Noting comments made during the public speaking session from:-

Mr. D. Hollins – on behalf of the occupier of 15 Fox Dale, Stamford - objecting

together with report of site inspection, comments from Stamford Town Council, no objection from the Highway Authority, numerous representations from nearby residents, one representation in support and a further letter from a local resident, for discussions with the applicant with regard to an amended plan showing an extension to the rear of the property.

SU.2

Application ref: S06/0851/12

Description: Residential development (121 dwellings)

Location: Wherry Lane, Off South Road, Bourne

Decision: Deferred

To enable late comments received from the applicants, site owners and Highway Authority to be circulated with the agenda for the next meeting.

SR.1

Application ref: S06/0779/17

Description: Demolition of existing bridge and formation of new embankments and re-profiling of carriageway

Location: Redundant Railway Bridge (EBO/3), Carlby Road, Carlby

Decision: Deferred

Noting report of site inspection, comments from the Highway Authority, representations from nearby residents, Greatford Parish Council and the Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust, submissions in support from the applicants and further representations from Braceborough and Wisthorpe Parish Council.

It was proposed and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the proposition was lost. It was then proposed and seconded that the application be deferred to enable information to be obtained following the monitoring of the cracks and settlement of the bridge and for an opinion on its likely useful life. On being put to the vote, the proposition was carried.

NR.1

Application ref: S06/0909/21

Description: Demolition of existing dwelling & construction of 24 starter homes

Location: 24, Doddington Lane, Claypole

Decision: Deferred

Pending the receipt of further information on the drainage of the site, to be circulated with the agenda for the next meeting.

723. INFORMATION RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT CONTROL AND OTHER PLANNING ACTIVITIES.

The Acting Development Control Services Manager submitted his report PLA608, listing details of applications not determined within the eight week time period. Also submitted was a list of applications dealt with under delegated powers and a list of appeals and newly submitted appeals received during August 2006.

724. ATTENDANCE AT PLANNING INQUIRIES/HEARINGS AND HIRING OF PLANNING/LEGAL EXPERTISE

The Chairman referred to his attendance, as a witness, at a recent planning inquiry. He said that as a result of this he was convinced that there was a need for training for Members as witnesses at inquiries/hearings and had accordingly given instructions to the Training Manager that an appropriate course be arranged. Members would be encouraged to attend in the event that they had voted for a refusal against officer advice and were required as a witness at a subsequent inquiry/hearing.

A Member referred to a letter sent by the Committee Support Officer in

relation to the hire of appropriate planning/legal expertise in the event that an application which was refused either with a recommendation to refuse or against officer recommendation to approve went to appeal. The Committee Support Officer clarified his letter and gave further information.

725. CLOSE OF MEETING

The meeting closed at 3:10pm.